

ORAL ARGUMENT NOT YET SCHEDULED

No. 19-5212

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF
COLUMBIA CIRCUIT**

ASSOCIATION FOR COMMUNITY AFFILIATED PLANS, ET AL.,
Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY, ET AL.,
Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia
Case No. 1:18-cv-02133-RJL, Hon. Richard J. Leon

BRIEF FOR AMICI CURIAE

**NATIONAL AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY, AMERICAN CANCER
SOCIETY CANCER ACTION NETWORK, AMERICAN HEART
ASSOCIATION, AMERICAN LUNG ASSOCIATION, CYSTIC FIBROSIS
FOUNDATION, EPILEPSY FOUNDATION, GLOBAL HEALTHY LIVING
FOUNDATION, HEMOPHILIA FEDERATION OF AMERICA, JUDGE
DAVID L. BAZELON CENTER FOR MENTAL HEALTH LAW, LEUKEMIA
& LYMPHOMA SOCIETY, MARCH OF DIMES INC., NATIONAL
COALITION FOR CANCER SURVIVORSHIP, AND
NATIONAL MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS SOCIETY
IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS-APPELLANTS**

JAMES SIGEL
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
425 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

JOSEPH R. PALMORE
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 887-6940
JPalmore@mof.com

Counsel for Amici Curiae

CERTIFICATE AS TO PARTIES, RULINGS, AND RELATED CASES

A. Parties and Amici. The Plaintiffs-Appellants are: Association for Community Affiliated Plans; National Alliance on Mental Illness; Mental Health America; American Psychiatric Association; AIDS United; the National Partnership for Women and Families; and Little Lobbyists, LLC. The Defendants-Appellees are: U.S. Department of Treasury; U.S. Department of Labor; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; Alex M. Azar II, in his official capacity as Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services; Patrick Pizzella, in his official capacity as Acting Secretary of the Department of Labor; Steven Mnuchin, in his official capacity as Secretary of the Department of Treasury; and the United States of America.

The following amici filed briefs in the district court (all in support of Plaintiffs-Appellants): AARP; AARP Foundation; American Academy of Family Physicians; American Academy of Pediatrics; American Academy of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; American College of Physicians; American Medical Association; American Osteopathic Association; HIV Medicine Association; Medical Society of the District of Columbia; American Cancer Society; American Cancer Society Action Network; American Heart Association, Inc.; American Lung Association; Cystic Fibrosis Foundation; Epilepsy Foundation; Hemophilia Federation of America; Judge David L. Bazelon Center for Mental Health Law;

Leukemia & Lymphoma Society; March of Dimes Inc.; National Coalition for Cancer Survivorship; and the National Multiple Sclerosis Society.

B. Ruling Under Review. The ruling under review is the Order and Memorandum Opinion of the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia (Leon, J.), filed July 19, 2019, in *Association for Community Affiliated Plans v. United States Department of Treasury*, No. 18-2133, granting defendants-appellees' motion for summary judgment and denying plaintiffs'-appellees' motion for summary judgment. *See* JA556-595; 392 F. Supp. 3d 22 (D.D.C. 2019).

C. Related Cases. Amici are unaware of any related cases. This case has not previously been before this Court.

Dated: November 12, 2019

/s/ Joseph R. Palmore
Joseph R. Palmore

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1 and D.C. Circuit Rule 26.1, Amici Curiae hereby state as follows:

The American Cancer Society (ACS) is a nationwide, community-based voluntary health organization dedicated to eliminating cancer as a major health problem. No publicly held corporation has a 10% or greater ownership interest in ACS and it does not include members that have issued shares or debt securities to the public.

The American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network (ACS CAN) is ACS's nonpartisan advocacy affiliate. No publicly held corporation has a 10% or greater ownership interest in ACS CAN and it does not include members that have issued shares or debt securities to the public.

The American Heart Association, Inc. (AHA) is a voluntary health organization that, since 1924, has been devoted to saving people from heart disease and stroke. No publicly held corporation has a 10% or greater ownership interest in AHA and it does not include members that have issued shares or debt securities to the public.

The American Lung Association (ALA) is the nation's oldest voluntary health organization, representing the 35 million Americans with lung disease. No

publicly held corporation has a 10% or greater ownership interest in ALA and it does not include members that have issued shares or debt securities to the public.

The Cystic Fibrosis Foundation (CFF) is a nonprofit whose mission is to cure cystic fibrosis and to provide all people with the disease the opportunity to lead full, productive lives by funding research and drug development, promoting individualized treatment, and ensuring access to high-quality, specialized care. No publicly held corporation has a 10% or greater ownership interest in the CFF and it does not include members that have issued shares or debt securities to the public

The Epilepsy Foundation is the leading national voluntary health organization that speaks on behalf of more than 3.4 million Americans with epilepsy and seizures. No publicly held corporation has a 10% or greater ownership interest in the Epilepsy Foundation and it does not include members that have issued shares or debt securities to the public

The Global Healthy Living Foundation (GHLF) is a non-profit foundation representing people with chronic disease, including arthritis, migraine, cardiovascular disease, psoriasis, inflammatory bowel disease and osteoporosis. No publicly held corporation has a 10% or greater ownership interest in GHLF and it does not include members that have issued shares or debt securities to the public.

The Hemophilia Federation of America (HFA) is a community-based, grassroots non-profit advocacy organization that assists, educates, and advocates

for people with hemophilia, von Willebrand disease, and other rare bleeding disorders. No publicly held corporation has a 10% or greater ownership interest in HFA and it does not include members that have issued shares or debt securities to the public.

The Judge David L. Bazelon Center for Mental Health Law is a national non-profit advocacy organization that advances the rights of individuals with mental disabilities in health care, community living, housing, employment, education, parental and family rights, and other areas. No publicly held corporation has a 10% or greater ownership interest in the Center and it does not include members that have issued shares or debt securities to the public.

The Leukemia & Lymphoma Society (LLS) is the world's largest voluntary health agency dedicated to fighting blood cancer and ensuring that the more than 1.3 million blood cancer patients and survivors in the United States have access to the care they need. No publicly held corporation has a 10% or greater ownership interest in LLS and it does not include members that have issued shares or debt securities to the public.

March of Dimes Inc. is a nonprofit organization that leads the fight for the health of all moms and babies. No publicly held corporation has a 10% or greater ownership interest in March of Dimes and it does not include members that have issued shares or debt securities to the public.

The National Coalition for Cancer Survivorship (NCCS) is a national, non-profit organization that advocates for access to quality care for survivors of all forms of cancer. No publicly held corporation has a 10% or greater ownership interest in the NCCS and it does not include members that have issued shares or debt securities to the public.

The National Multiple Sclerosis Society (NMMS) is a non-profit organization mobilizes people and resources so that everyone affected by multiple sclerosis (MS) can live their best lives, while also seeking to end MS forever. No publicly held corporation has a 10% or greater ownership interest in the NMMS and it does not include members that have issued shares or debt securities to the public.

Dated: November 12, 2019

/s/ Joseph R. Palmore
Joseph R. Palmore

**CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL REGARDING NECESSITY OF SEPARATE
AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF**

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 29(d), counsel for amici curiae hereby certifies that, to his knowledge, no other amicus brief addresses the precise factual questions addressed in this brief—namely, the harm that will arise from the challenged rule. Accordingly, filing a joint brief would not be practicable.

Dated: November 12, 2019

/s/ Joseph R. Palmore
Joseph R. Palmore

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE AS TO PARTIES, RULINGS, AND RELATED CASES	i
CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	iii
CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL REGARDING NECESSITY OF SEPARATE AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF	vii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ix
GLOSSARY	xvi
INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE	1
INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	6
ARGUMENT	8
I. ADEQUATE INSURANCE IS CRITICAL TO HEALTH OUTCOMES	8
II. THE ACA WAS INTENDED TO ENSURE GREATER ACCESS TO ADEQUATE HEALTH CARE	17
III. THE CHALLENGED RULE WILL ALLOW SHORT-TERM, LIMITED-DURATION PLANS TO PROLIFERATE	20
IV. THE CHALLENGED RULE WILL HAVE ACKNOWLEDGED HARMFUL EFFECTS	22
CONCLUSION	29

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Nat'l Fed. of Indep. Bus. v. Sebelius</i> , 567 U.S. 519 (2012).....	8
--	---

Statutes & Regulations

42 U.S.C. § 300gg-1(a)	18
42 U.S.C. § 300gg-6(a)	18
42 U.S.C. § 18022(b)	18
42 U.S.C. § 18022(c)	18
42 U.S.C. § 18022(d)	18
Expected Benefits; Lifetime and Annual Limits; and Short-Term, Limited-Duration Insurance, 81 Fed. Reg. 75,316 (Oct. 31, 2016)	21
Final Rule, Short-Term, Limited-Duration Insurance, 83 Fed. Reg. 38,212 (Aug. 3, 2018)	21, 22, 24, 27, 28
Proposed Rule, Short-Term, Limited-Duration Insurance, 83 Fed. Reg. 7,437 (Feb. 21, 2018)	20

Other Authorities

Ahmedin Jemal et al., <i>Changes in Insurance Coverage and Stage at Diagnosis Among Nonelderly Patients With Cancer After the Affordable Care Act</i> , 35 J. CLINICAL ONCOLOGY 3906 (2017)	19
American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network, <i>A National Poll: Facing Cancer in the Health Care System</i> (2012), https://www.fightcancer.org/sites/default/files/National%20Docum ents/ACS_CAN_Polling_Report_7.27.10.pdf	11, 12

American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network, <i>Inadequate Coverage: An ACS CAN Examination of Short-Term Health Plans</i> (May 13, 2019), https://www.fightcancer.org/sites/default/files/National%20Documents/ACS%20CAN%20STLD%20Paper.pdf	25, 26
American Cancer Society, <i>Cancer Facts and Figures 2019</i> , https://www.cancer.org/content/dam/cancer-org/research/cancer-facts-and-statistics/annual-cancer-facts-and-figures/2019/cancer-facts-and-figures-2019.pdf	8, 13, 26
Andrew P. Wilper et al., <i>Health Insurance And Mortality in US Adults</i> , 99 AM. J. PUB. HEALTH 2289 (2009).....	11, 13
Anthony Robbins et al., <i>Insurance Status and Distant-Stage Disease at Diagnosis Among Adolescent and Young Adult Patients with Cancer Aged 15 to 39 Years: National Cancer Data Base, 2004 Through 2010</i> , 120 CANCER 1212 (2014)	12
Benjamin D. Sommers et al., <i>Early Changes in Health Insurance Coverage under the Trump Administration</i> , 378 NEW ENG. J. MED. 1061 (2018).....	19
C.E Belgley & T.L. Durgin, <i>The direct costs of epilepsy in the United States: A systemic review of estimates</i> , 56 EPILEPSIA 1376 (2015).....	15
C.J. Berg et al., <i>Preventability of pregnancy-related deaths: results of a state-wide review</i> , 8 OBSTET. GYNECOL. 1228 (2005)	16
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, <i>Improving the Quality of Life for People With Arthritis</i> , https://www.cdc.gov/chronicdisease/resources/publications/aag/arthritis.htm	9
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, <i>National Health Interview Survey, 2017</i> (June 2018)	9
Centers for Disease Control, <i>Severe Maternal Morbidity</i> , https://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/maternalinfanthealth/severematernalmorbidity.html	16

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, <i>County by County Analysis of Current Projected Insurer Participating in Health Insurance Exchanges</i> (June 2017), https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/press-releases/county-county-analysis-current-projected-insurer-participation-health-insurance-exchanges	16, 27
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, National Institute on Mental Health, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Joint Informational Bulletin, <i>Coverage of Early Intervention Services for First Episode Psychosis</i> (Oct. 16, 2015), https://www.medicare.gov/federal-policy-guidance/downloads/CIB-10-16-2015.pdf	15
Daniel M. Hartung et al., <i>Trends In Coverage for Disease Modifying Therapies in Multiple Sclerosis in Medicare Part D</i> , 38:2 HEALTH AFFAIRS 303 (2019)	15
David U. Himmelstein et al., <i>Medical Bankruptcy in the United States, 2007: Results of a National Study</i> , 122 AM. J. MED. 741 (2009)	10
Elizabeth M. Ward et al., <i>The Association of Insurance and Stage at Diagnosis Among Patients Aged 55 to 74 Years in the National Cancer Database</i> , 16 CANCER J. 614 (2010).....	12, 26
Elizabeth Ward et al., <i>Association of Insurance with Cancer Care Utilization and Outcomes</i> , 58 CANCER J. FOR CLINICIANS 9 (2018).....	12
Emilia J. Benjamin et al., <i>Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics—2019 Update</i> , AHA STATISTICAL UPDATE (2019), https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000659	8
Fanny W.S. Ko et al., <i>COPD Care Programme Can Reduce Readmissions and In-Patient Bed Days</i> , 108:12 RESPIRATORY MEDICINE 1771 (2014).....	14
Gary Claxton et al., <i>Pre-existing Condition Prevalence for Individuals and Families</i> , Kaiser Family Foundation (Oct. 2019).....	17, 18

Gery P. Guy et. al, <i>Healthcare Expenditure Burden Among Non-elderly Cancer Survivors</i> , 2008-2012, 49 AM J. PREV. MED. S489 (Dec. 2015)	10
Jay J. Shen & Elmer Washington, <i>Disparities in Outcomes Among Patients with Stroke Associated with Insurance Status</i> , 38 STROKE 1010 (2007).....	13
John T. Wilkins et al., <i>Lifetime Risk and Years Lived Free of Total Cardiovascular Disease</i> , 308 J. AM. MED. ASS'N 1795 (2012)	8
Joyce A. Martin et al., <i>Births: Final Data for 2016</i> , NATIONAL VITAL STATISTICS REPORTS (Jan. 2018)	9
Kaiser Family Foundation et al., <i>National Survey of Households Affected by Cancer</i> (November 2006)	18
Karen Pollitz et al., <i>Understanding Short-Term Limited-Duration Health Insurance</i> (Apr. 23, 2018).....	21, 23
Kim G. Smolderen et al., <i>Health Care Insurance, Financial Concerns in Accessing Care, and Delays to Hospital Presentation in Acute Myocardial Infarction</i> , 303 J. AM. MED. ASS'N 1392, 1395 (2010)	13
Lawrence B. Finer & Mia R. Zolna, <i>Declines in Unintended Pregnancy in the United States, 2008-2011</i> , 374:9 NEW ENG. J. MED. 843 (2016)	25
Linda J. Blumberg et al., <i>Updated: The Potential Impact of Short-Term Limited-Duration Policies on Insurance Coverage, Premiums, and Federal Spending</i> , URBAN INSTITUTE (March 2018)	22, 27
M. M. Doty et al., <i>Failure to Protect: Why the Individual Insurance Market is not a Viable Option for Most US Families</i> , THE COMMONWEALTH FUND (July 2009).....	17
March of Dimes, <i>Affordable Care is Essential to Moms and Babies</i> (2017), https://www.marchofdimes.org/advocacy/affordable-care-is-essential-to-moms-and-babies.aspx	18, 19

March of Dimes, <i>Maternal Mortality and Severe Maternal Morbidity</i> (June 2018), https://www.marchofdimes.org/materials/March-of-Dimes-Maternal-Mortality-and-SSM-Position-Statement-FINAL-June-2018.pdf	16
March of Dimes, <i>Peristats</i> , https://www.marchofdimes.org/Peristats/ViewSubtopic.aspx?reg=99&top=3&stop=64&lev=1&slev=1&obj=18	17
MMRIA, <i>Report From Nine Maternal Mortality Review Committees</i> (Feb. 2018), https://www.cdcfoundation.org/sites/default/files/files/ReportfromNineMMRCs.pdf	16
Multiple Sclerosis Coalition, <i>The Use of Disease Modifying Therapies in MS: Principles and Current Evidence</i> (2014), https://www.nationalmssociety.org/getmedia/5ca284d3-fc7c-4ba5-b005-ab537d495c3c/DMT_Consensus_MS_Coalition_color	14, 15
N. Levy, <i>Skimpy health plans touted by Trump bring back familiar woes for consumers</i> , L.A. TIMES (Apr. 2, 2019), https://www.latimes.com/politics/la-na-pol-trump-shortterm-health-insurance-consumer-problems-20190402-story.html	25
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, <i>Autoimmune Diseases</i> , https://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/topics/conditions/autoimmune/index.cfm	9
National Institute of Mental Health, <i>Statistics</i> , https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/statistics/mental-illness.shtml	9
National Multiple Sclerosis Society, <i>MS Prevalence</i> , https://nationalmssociety.org/About-the-Society/MS-Prevalence	9
P.G. Szilagyi et al, <i>Improved Asthma Care After Enrollment in the State Children’s Health Insurance Program in New York</i> , 117:2 PEDIATRICS 486 (2006)	14

R. Abelson, <i>Florida Company Sued Over Sales of Skimpy Health Plans</i> , N.Y. TIMES (June 12, 2019), https://www.nytimes.com/2019/06/12/health/insurance-lawsuit-obamacare.html	25
Rabah Kamal et al., <i>Costs and Outcomes of Mental Health and Substance Use Disorders in the U.S.</i> , 318 J. AM. MED. ASS'N 415 (August 2017)	10
Richard G. Frank and Sherry A. Glied, <i>Behavioral Health and the Individual Health Insurance Market: Preserving Key Elements of Reform</i> (2017), https://www.scattergoodfoundation.org/wpcontent/uploads/yumpu_files/Behavioral_Health_and_the_Individual_Health_Insurance_Market_03.23.17.pdf	19
Scott D. Ramsey et al., <i>Financial Insolvency as a Risk Factor for Early Mortality Among Patients With Cancer</i> , 34 J. CLIN. ONCOL. 980 (March 2016)	11
Scott Ramsey et al., <i>Washington State Cancer Patients Found to be at Greater Risk for Bankruptcy than People Without a Cancer Diagnosis</i> , 32:6 HEALTH AFF. 1143 (June 2013).....	10
Stacy A. Fedewa et al., <i>Association of Insurance Status and Age with Cervical Cancer Stage at Diagnosis: National Cancer Database, 2000-2007</i> , 102 AM. J. PUB. HEALTH 1782 (2012).....	12
Tefera Gezmu et al., <i>Disparities in Acute Stroke Severity, Outcomes, and Care Relative to Health Insurance Status</i> , 23 J. STROKE & CEREBROVASCULAR DISEASE 93 (2014)	13
Xuesong Han & Ahmedin Jemal, <i>The Affordable Care Act and Cancer Care for Young Adults</i> , 20:3 J. CANCER 194 (2017).....	20
Xuesong Han et al., <i>Changes in noninsurance and care unaffordability among cancer survivors following the Affordable Care Act</i> . J. NAT'L CANCER INST. (forthcoming, Nov. 2019).....	20

Xuesong Han et al., <i>Comparison Of Insurance Status and Diagnosis Stage Among Patients With Newly Diagnosed Cancer Before vs After Implementation Of The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act</i> , 4 JAMA ONCOL. 1713 (Dec. 2018).....	19
Z. Zheng et al., <i>Do Cancer Survivors Change Their Prescription Drug Use for Financial Reasons?</i> 123 CANCER 1453 (April 2017).....	11
Zheng-Yi Zhou et al., <i>Burden of Illness: Direct and Indirect Costs Among Persons with Hemophilia A in the United States</i> , 18 J. MED. ECON. 1 (2015).....	9, 10

GLOSSARY

ACS	American Cancer Society
ACS CAN	American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network
ACA	Affordable Care Act
AHA	American Heart Association
ALA	American Lung Association
CFF	Cystic Fibrosis Foundation
GHLF	Global Healthy Living Foundation
HFA	Hemophilia Federation of America
LLS	Leukemia & Lymphoma Society
NCCS	National Coalition for Cancer Survivorship
NMSS	National Multiple Sclerosis Society

INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE¹

The American Cancer Society (ACS), American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network (ACS CAN), American Heart Association, Inc. (AHA), American Lung Association (ALA), Cystic Fibrosis Foundation (CFF), Epilepsy Foundation, Global Healthy Living Foundation (GHLF), Hemophilia Federation of America (HFA), Judge David L. Bazelon Center for Mental Health Law, Leukemia & Lymphoma Society (LLS), March of Dimes Inc., National Coalition for Cancer Survivorship (NCCS), and National Multiple Sclerosis Society (NMMS) (collectively, “Amici”) represent millions of patients and consumers across the country facing serious, acute, and chronic health conditions. Many of Amici participated in the underlying rulemaking proceeding, and all submitted a brief to the district court urging it to set the rule aside. Amici have a unique perspective on what individuals and families need to prevent disease, manage health, and cure illness—and a deep understanding of the harm that will result if the short-term, limited-duration insurance rule is left in place.

ACS is a nationwide, community-based voluntary health organization dedicated to eliminating cancer as a major health problem, with a global network

¹ All parties have consented to the filing of this amicus curiae brief. No counsel for a party authored this brief in whole or in part, and no party or counsel for a party made a monetary contribution intended to fund the preparation or submission of the brief. No person other than amicus curiae or its counsel made a monetary contribution to the preparation or submission of this brief.

of two million volunteers. ACS's extensive scientific findings have established that health insurance coverage status is strongly linked to receipt of medical care and health outcomes, including survival, and that lack of adequate insurance coverage is a major impediment to advancing the fight against cancer. Along with its nonpartisan advocacy affiliate ACS CAN, which has over a million patient and survivor advocates nationwide, ACS seeks to secure affordable, comprehensive, and accessible health insurance for all Americans.

AHA is a voluntary health organization that, since 1924, has been devoted to saving people from heart disease and stroke—the first and fifth leading causes of death in the United States. AHA and its more than 40 million volunteers work to fund innovative research, fight for stronger public health policies, and provide lifesaving tools and information to prevent and treat these diseases. Based on well-documented research that uninsured and under-insured Americans with heart disease and stroke experience higher mortality rates, poorer blood pressure control, greater neurological impairments, and longer hospital stays after a stroke, AHA has worked to represent the needs and interests of heart disease and stroke patients and advocated making health care more affordable.

ALA is the nation's oldest voluntary health organization, representing the 35 million Americans with lung disease in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. Because people with or at risk for lung cancer and lung diseases—such as asthma,

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) and pulmonary fibrosis—need quality and affordable health care to prevent or treat their disease, ALA strongly supports increasing access to health care.

The CFF’s mission is to cure cystic fibrosis and to provide all people with the disease the opportunity to lead full, productive lives by funding research and drug development, promoting individualized treatment, and ensuring access to high-quality, specialized care. The CFF advocates for policies that promote affordable, adequate, and available health care coverage for people with cystic fibrosis.

The Epilepsy Foundation is the leading national voluntary health organization that speaks on behalf of more than 3.4 million Americans with epilepsy and seizures. Uncontrolled seizures can lead to disability, injury, and even death. Epilepsy medications are the most common and most cost-effective treatment for controlling and/or reducing seizures—making timely access to quality, affordable, physician-directed care and effective coverage for epilepsy medications vital for people living with epilepsy.

GHLF, and its arthritis community CreakyJoints®, is a non-profit foundation representing people with chronic disease, including arthritis, migraine, cardiovascular disease, psoriasis, inflammatory bowel disease and osteoporosis. GHLF advocates for improved access to care at the community, state, and federal

levels, and amplifies its education and support services through its popular CreakyChats Twitter with an average of 6 million impressions and CreakyJoints Facebook feeds. GHLF is also a staunch advocate for vaccines. The organization further represents patients through its ArthritisPower patient-reported outcomes research registry, and its 50-State Network of patient advocates.

HFA is a community-based, grassroots advocacy organization that assists, educates, and advocates for people with hemophilia, von Willebrand disease, and other rare bleeding disorders. HFA works for patient access to quality and affordable care and coverage—priorities that reflect the nature of bleeding disorders as serious, life-long, and expensive health conditions. Quality and affordable healthcare coverage is indispensable for people living with bleeding disorders.

Founded in 1972 as the Mental Health Law Project, the Judge David L. Bazelon Center for Mental Health Law is a national non-profit advocacy organization that advances the rights of individuals with mental disabilities in health care, community living, housing, employment, education, parental and family rights, and other areas. Expanding the availability of community-based mental health services has been central to the Center's mission and focus.

LLS is the world's largest voluntary health agency dedicated to fighting blood cancer and ensuring that the more than 1.3 million blood cancer patients and

survivors in the United States have access to the care they need. The significant costs associated with essential blood cancer treatments—particularly hospitalization, stem cell transplantation, and anti-cancer drug therapies—put even routine cancer care out of reach for those patients without comprehensive and stable health insurance. LLS and its network of more than 100,000 advocacy volunteers promote policies that ensure access to quality insurance coverage and reduce barriers to vital cancer care.

March of Dimes is a nonprofit organization that leads the fight for the health of all moms and babies. March of Dimes educates medical professionals and the public about best practices, supports lifesaving research, provides comfort and support to families in neonatal intensive care units, and advocates for moms and babies. Ensuring that pregnant women and their children have access to timely, affordable, and high-quality healthcare is essential to achieving its goals.

NCCS is a national organization that advocates for access to quality care for survivors of all forms of cancer. The cancer survivors represented by NCCS have a pre-existing condition from the day of diagnosis and rely on affordable and adequate health insurance.

The NMSS mobilizes people and resources so that everyone affected by multiple sclerosis (MS) can live their best lives, while also seeking to end MS forever. To fulfill this mission, the NMSS funds more MS research and provides

more programs for people with MS and their families than any other voluntary health organization in the world. The NMSS works to ensure that all people with MS have access to affordable high-quality health care.

Amici are all deeply concerned about the effect the short-term, limited-duration insurance rule will have on the individuals and families they represent. As a direct result of the rule, many individuals will find themselves unable to access the medical care they need. Amici submit this brief to assist the court in understanding the nature and extent of this harm.

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

Short-term, limited-duration insurance plans are intended to address temporary gaps in coverage between other, more comprehensive plans. Given their stop-gap nature, these plans are not required to adhere to important standards set forth in the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA). These critical standards include requirements to cover certain essential health benefits without lifetime or annual limits and prohibitions on discrimination against people with pre-existing conditions.

In the challenged rule, the Departments of Treasury, Labor, and Health and Human Services (collectively, “the Departments”) authorized a vast increase in the use of such plans. The rule allows short-term, limited-duration plans to be sold for a term of up to a year, to be renewed for up to 36 months, and to be purchased

seriatim indefinitely. It thus effectively authorizes these plans to serve as complete replacements for the generally accessible and comprehensive plans sold in ACA-created markets.

By permitting insurers to evade the ACA's protections, the rule has two predictable effects—each of which the Departments openly acknowledge. First, many individuals who purchase short-term plans will find themselves enrolled in policies that fail to provide coverage for necessary medical services. Second, many others who do not (or cannot) purchase such plans will suffer downstream effects when healthier individuals leave the ACA-compliant individual insurance markets to opt for short-term plans. These effects include higher premiums and, in some circumstances, an inability to access coverage at all.

Amici agree with Appellants that the challenged rule is both inconsistent with the text and purpose of the ACA and is arbitrary and capricious, and that the district court erred in concluding otherwise. *See* Appellants' Br. 30-68. In this brief, Amici highlight the harms that are all but certain to follow if the rule is allowed to stand. As detailed below, the availability of affordable, accessible, and adequate health insurance is critical to health outcomes. The challenged rule, however, ensures that a greater number of individuals will purchase plans that deny coverage for, and thereby deny access to, critical treatments if and when they are needed, and that individuals with existing health conditions will incur greater

expense in accessing the treatment they need. In so doing, the rule poses a very real threat to the health of many millions of Americans.

ARGUMENT

I. ADEQUATE INSURANCE IS CRITICAL TO HEALTH OUTCOMES

Nearly everyone will require health care at some point in their lives. *See Nat'l Fed. of Indep. Bus. v. Sebelius*, 567 U.S. 519, 547 (2012). That much is apparent even from looking at just a subset of the diseases on which Amici focus. More than 1.7 million new cancer cases are expected to be diagnosed in the U.S. in 2019, while more than 15.5 million Americans are living with a history of cancer. American Cancer Society, *Cancer Facts and Figures 2019*, at 1.² Roughly four out of ten Americans will develop cancer in their lifetimes. *Id.* at 2. An additional 121.5 million American adults are living with cardiovascular diseases, while 45.1% of Americans are projected to have some form of cardiovascular disease by 2035. Emilia J. Benjamin et al., *Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics—2019 Update*, AHA STATISTICAL UPDATE (2019).³ The lifetime risk for developing cardiovascular disease of those free of known disease at age 45 is almost two in three for men and greater than one in two for women. John T. Wilkins et al.,

²<https://www.cancer.org/content/dam/cancer-org/research/cancer-facts-and-statistics/annual-cancer-facts-and-figures/2019/cancer-facts-and-figures-2019.pdf>.

³ <https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000659>.

Lifetime Risk and Years Lived Free of Total Cardiovascular Disease, 308 J. AM. MED. ASS'N 1795, 1798 (2012). Another 54 million Americans have arthritis, 35.7 million have some form of chronic lung disease, and 23.5 million suffer from autoimmune diseases, including nearly one million with multiple sclerosis (MS). Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *Improving the Quality of Life for People With Arthritis*⁴, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *National Health Interview Survey, 2017* (June 2018) (analysis by ALA Epidemiology and Statistics Unit using SPSS Software); National Multiple Sclerosis Society, *MS Prevalence*⁵; National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, *Autoimmune Diseases*.⁶ Nearly one in five adults lives with a mental illness. National Institute of Mental Health, *Statistics*.⁷ And approximately four million babies are born in the U.S. every year. Joyce A. Martin et al., *Births: Final Data for 2016*, NATIONAL VITAL STATISTICS REPORTS (Jan. 2018).⁸

Absent insurance, the cost of treatment for these conditions is beyond the reach of all but the wealthiest individuals. For example, treatment for an individual with severe hemophilia A (but no complications), averages over \$300,000 per year, and must continue for the individual's lifetime. Zheng-Yi Zhou

⁴ <https://www.cdc.gov/chronicdisease/resources/publications/aag/arthritis.htm>.

⁵ <https://www.nationalmssociety.org/About-the-Society/MS-Prevalence>.

⁶ <https://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/topics/conditions/autoimmune/index.cfm>.

⁷ <https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/statistics/mental-illness.shtml>.

⁸ https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr67/nvsr67_01.pdf.

et al., *Burden of Illness: Direct and Indirect Costs Among Persons with Hemophilia A in the United States*, 18 J. MED. ECON. 1, 6 (2015).⁹ Annual costs of new cancer treatments routinely exceed \$100,000. Gery P. Guy et al., *Healthcare Expenditure Burden Among Non-elderly Cancer Survivors, 2008-2012*, 49 AM J. PREV. MED. S489-97 (Dec. 2015). One 2013 study found that cancer patients were more than two and a half times as likely to file for bankruptcy as people without cancer. Scott Ramsey et al., *Washington State Cancer Patients Found to be at Greater Risk for Bankruptcy than People Without a Cancer Diagnosis*, 32:6 HEALTH AFF. 1143, 1147-48 (June 2013).¹⁰ Cardiovascular disease is also a leading cause of medical bankruptcy. David U. Himmelstein et al., *Medical Bankruptcy in the United States, 2007: Results of a National Study*, 122 AM. J. MED. 741, 745 (2009). Inability to afford needed mental health services is one of the top reasons why individuals have gone without these services. Rabah Kamal et al., *Costs and Outcomes of Mental Health and Substance Use Disorders in the U.S.*, 318 J. AM. MED. ASS'N 415 (August 2017).

As one might expect, health outcomes improve when individuals have access to insurance adequate to cover the treatment they need. One 2009 Harvard Medical School study found that approximately 45,000 deaths annually could be

⁹ <https://scholarship.org/uc/item/5d79b9fc>.

¹⁰ <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hlthaff.2012.1263>

attributed to the lack of health insurance among working-age Americans. Andrew P. Wilper et al., *Health Insurance And Mortality in US Adults*, 99 AM. J. PUB. HEALTH 2289, 2292 (2009). These uninsured individuals had a 40 percent higher risk of death than their privately insured counterparts. *Id.*

The increased risks associated with inadequate health insurance stem from a variety of factors. Cancer survivors, for example, are more likely to skip medication doses, take less medicine or delay filling a prescription for financial reasons. Z. Zheng et al., *Do Cancer Survivors Change Their Prescription Drug Use for Financial Reasons?* 123 CANCER 1453 (April 2017). The risk of mortality is nearly two times higher for cancer patients who filed for bankruptcy as compared to those who do not. Scott D. Ramsey et al., *Financial Insolvency as a Risk Factor for Early Mortality Among Patients With Cancer*, 34 J. CLIN. ONCOL. 980 (March 2016).

Moreover, for cancer patients, early detection and treatment is key. But an ACS CAN poll conducted before passage of the ACA found that 34 percent of individuals under the age of 65 with cancer or a history of cancer had delayed care because of cost in the preceding twelve months. American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network, *A National Poll: Facing Cancer in the Health Care System*

(2012), at 17.¹¹ And at all levels of education, individuals with health insurance are nearly twice as likely to have access to critical cancer early-detection procedures, such as mammography or colorectal screenings. Elizabeth Ward et al., *Association of Insurance with Cancer Care Utilization and Outcomes*, 58 *CANCER J. FOR CLINICIANS* 9, 21 (2018).

Insured patients' access to preventive care has a notable impact. One study found that of those between the ages of 15 to 39, uninsured females are nearly twice as likely, and uninsured males are nearly 1.5 times as likely, to be diagnosed with cancer that has already metastasized. Anthony Robbins et al., *Insurance Status and Distant-Stage Disease at Diagnosis Among Adolescent and Young Adult Patients with Cancer Aged 15 to 39 Years: National Cancer Data Base, 2004 Through 2010*, 120 *CANCER* 1212, 1214 (2014). Other studies demonstrate that uninsured patients are 1.4 times more likely to be diagnosed with advanced-stage cervical cancer, two times more likely to be diagnosed with advanced-stage breast cancer, and 1.3 times more likely to be diagnosed with colorectal cancer. Stacy A. Fedewa et al., *Association of Insurance Status and Age with Cervical Cancer Stage at Diagnosis: National Cancer Database, 2000-2007*, 102 *AM. J. PUB. HEALTH* 1782, 1784-85 (2012); Elizabeth M. Ward et al., *The Association of Insurance and*

¹¹https://www.fightcancer.org/sites/default/files/National%20Documents/ACS_CAN_Polling_Report_7.27.10.pdf

Stage at Diagnosis Among Patients Aged 55 to 74 Years in the National Cancer Database, 16 *CANCER J.* 614, 619 (2010). The five-year survival rates of those diagnosed at later stages are significantly lower than the rates for those diagnosed when their cancer is less advanced. American Cancer Society, *Cancer Facts & Figures 2019* at 21.¹²

The story is similar for lung and heart disease. Even during a heart attack, uninsured patients are more likely to delay seeking medical care. Kim G. Smolderen et al., *Health Care Insurance, Financial Concerns in Accessing Care, and Delays to Hospital Presentation in Acute Myocardial Infarction*, 303 *J. AM. MED. ASS'N* 1392, 1395-99 (2010). Uninsured patients with cardiovascular disease experience higher mortality rates and poorer blood pressure control than their insured counterparts. Tefera Gezmu et al., *Disparities in Acute Stroke Severity, Outcomes, and Care Relative to Health Insurance Status*, 23 *J. STROKE & CEREBROVASCULAR DISEASE* 93, 95-97 (2014); Wilper, *supra*, at 2292. Uninsured patients who suffer an ischemic stroke (the most common type of stroke) experience greater neurological impairments, longer hospital stays, and up to a 56 percent higher risk of death. Jay J. Shen & Elmer Washington, *Disparities in Outcomes Among Patients with Stroke Associated with Insurance Status*, 38

¹² <https://www.cancer.org/content/dam/cancer-org/research/cancer-facts-and-statistics/annual-cancer-facts-and-figures/2019/cancer-facts-and-figures-2019.pdf>

STROKE 1010, 1013 (2007). Children with asthma experience worse health outcomes when they lack access to health insurance, including increases in asthma-related attacks and hospitalizations. P.G. Szilagyi et al, *Improved Asthma Care After Enrollment in the State Children's Health Insurance Program in New York*, 117:2 PEDIATRICS 486-96 (2006). And the ability to access management programs for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease reduces hospital readmissions. See Fanny W.S. Ko et al., *COPD Care Programme Can Reduce Readmissions and In-Patient Bed Days*, 108:12 RESPIRATORY MEDICINE 1771-78 (2014).

Individuals with chronic diseases like MS, cystic fibrosis, epilepsy, and mental illnesses likewise experience improved results when they have access to adequate insurance. Most people with MS are diagnosed between the ages of 20 and 50, and early treatment is critical. Comment of National Multiple Sclerosis Society, Apr. 23, 2018, at 1 ["NMSS Comment"]; Multiple Sclerosis Coalition, *The Use of Disease Modifying Therapies in MS: Principles and Current Evidence* (2014).¹³ A growing body of evidence indicates that early and ongoing treatment with an FDA-approved disease-modifying therapy is the best way to manage the MS disease course, prevent accumulation of disability, and protect the brain from damage due to MS. *Id.* at 14-17. MS patients face a reduction in survival of

¹³ https://www.nationalmssociety.org/getmedia/5ca284d3-fc7c-4ba5-b005-ab537d495c3c/DMT_Consensus_MS_Coalition_color

between 8 to 12 years if they do not receive proper treatment, but few can afford the \$88,000 annual cost of such treatment without health insurance. *Id.* at 8; see Daniel M. Hartung et al., *Trends In Coverage for Disease Modifying Therapies in Multiple Sclerosis in Medicare Part D*, 38:2 HEALTH AFFAIRS 303 (2019). Similarly, individuals with cystic fibrosis risk further progression of their disease and its symptoms if cost concerns force them to take less medication or delay care. Comment of Cystic Fibrosis Foundation, April 23, 2018, at 5. And total annual healthcare costs for the 1 in 26 Americans who develop epilepsy in their lifetimes can be up to \$48,000—treatment that is critical for such individuals to reduce their risk of accident, injury, or sudden unexpected death in epilepsy. C.E Belgley & T.L. Durgin, *The direct costs of epilepsy in the United States: A systemic review of estimates*, 56 EPILEPSIA 1376-87 (2015). Untreated psychosis in individuals with mental illness “increases a person’s risk for suicide, involuntary emergency care, and poor clinical outcomes”; early intervention strategies have changed the trajectory of individuals’ lives, enabling people with serious mental illnesses to live in community settings and participate fully in family and community life. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, National Institute on Mental Health, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Joint Informational

Bulletin, *Coverage of Early Intervention Services for First Episode Psychosis*, 2 (Oct. 16, 2015).¹⁴

Access to health insurance is also crucial for pregnant women and their children. Nearly 700 women in the U.S. die each year as a result of pregnancy or pregnancy-related complications, and up to 60% of these deaths are preventable. MMRIA, *Report From Nine Maternal Mortality Review Committees* (Feb. 2018);¹⁵ C.J. Berg et al., *Preventability of pregnancy-related deaths: results of a state-wide review*, 8 OBSTET. GYNECOL. 1228-34 (2005). Another 50,000 women annually experience severe maternal morbidity. Centers for Disease Control, *Severe Maternal Morbidity*.¹⁶ Access to adequate insurance is critical to reduce these preventable deaths and pregnancy-related complications. March of Dimes, *Maternal Mortality and Severe Maternal Morbidity* (June 2018).¹⁷ Similarly, insurance is essential for the pre- and post-natal care that can ensure that every child is able to reach his or her full potential. Comment of American Academy of Pediatrics et al., April 23, 2018, at 3. That is particularly true of the tens of

¹⁴ <https://www.medicaid.gov/federal-policy-guidance/downloads/CIB-10-16-2015.pdf>.

¹⁵ <https://www.cdcfoundation.org/sites/default/files/files/ReportfromNineMMRCs.pdf>.

¹⁶ <https://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/maternalinfanthealth/severematernalmorbidity.html>

¹⁷ <https://www.marchofdimes.org/materials/March-of-Dimes-Maternal-Mortality-and-SMM-Position-Statement-FINAL-June-2018.pdf>

thousands of babies born every year very preterm (less than 32 weeks), who may require weeks or months of intensive hospital care. March of Dimes, *Peristats*.¹⁸

II. THE ACA WAS INTENDED TO ENSURE GREATER ACCESS TO ADEQUATE HEALTH CARE

The ACA sought to guarantee Americans meaningful access to adequate health insurance and the improved outcomes such insurance entails. Two particular aspects of the ACA are important here.

First, ACA-compliant plans are prohibited from engaging in various forms of discrimination that proliferated before passage of the Act. Before the statute took effect, for example, individuals with serious health conditions were often denied coverage or charged higher rates for their coverage. M. M. Doty et al., *Failure to Protect: Why the Individual Insurance Market is not a Viable Option for Most US Families*, THE COMMONWEALTH FUND (July 2009).¹⁹ Roughly 27 percent of adults under the age of 65 have a “declinable” pre-existing condition, and the proportion only increases with age. Gary Claxton et al., *Pre-existing Condition*

¹⁸ <https://www.marchofdimes.org/Peristats/ViewSubtopic.aspx?reg=99&top=3&stop=64&lev=1&slev=1&obj=18>

¹⁹ http://www.commonwealthfund.org/~media/Files/Publications/Issue%20Brief/2009/Jul/Failure%20to%20Protect/1300_Doty_failure_to_protect_individual_ins_market_ib_v2.pdf.

Prevalence for Individuals and Families, Kaiser Family Foundation (Oct. 2019).²⁰

The ACA, however, prohibits insurers from denying coverage based on pre-existing conditions—ensuring that those most in need of care are nevertheless able to purchase insurance. 42 U.S.C. § 300gg-1(a).

Second, ACA-compliant plans must provide what are known as “essential health benefits.” *Id.* § 300gg-6(a). These “essential health benefits” encompass a number of necessary medical services, including “[p]reventive and wellness services,” “[m]aternity and newborn care,” mental health and substance use disorder services, and “[p]rescription drugs.” *Id.* § 18022(b). ACA-compliant plans are prohibited from imposing any lifetime or annual limits on coverage of “essential health benefits.” *Id.* §§ 18022(c), (d). Before the ACA, as many as one in ten cancer patients reported reaching the limit of what their insurance plans would pay for their cancer treatment, at which point they were effectively uninsured for their illness. Kaiser Family Foundation et al., *National Survey of Households Affected by Cancer* (November 2006).²¹ Similarly, only 13% of health plans in the individual market covered maternity care. March of Dimes,

²⁰ https://www.kff.org/health-reform/issue-brief/pre-existing-condition-prevalence-for-individuals-and-families/?utm_campaign=KFF-2019-Health-Reform&utm_source=hs_email&utm_medium=email&utm_content=2&_hsenc=p2ANqtz-WXUXBNGEfmzYezTz9s3OXrJwZIuaZver07OJKagAYpQn_OR2E2MVPuVDPawicDqGDNCM2qeBnVKZF4bc9vQn6DsRNaw&_hsmi=2

²¹ <https://www.kff.org/health-costs/poll-finding/summary-and-chartpack-national-survey-of-households/>

Affordable Care is Essential to Moms and Babies (2017).²² A survey conducted by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services found that about 34% of individual market plans did not include any coverage of substance use disorder treatment, and 18% did not provide any coverage for mental health care. Richard G. Frank and Sherry A. Glied, *Behavioral Health and the Individual Health Insurance Market: Preserving Key Elements of Reform* (2017).²³

With these and other measures making insurance more accessible, uninsured rates have dropped significantly. Benjamin D. Sommers et al., *Early Changes in Health Insurance Coverage under the Trump Administration*, 378 NEW ENG. J. MED. 1061-63 (2018). This increase in coverage has already had a positive effect on health outcomes. One study found a small but statistically significant shift toward early-stage diagnosis for colorectal cancer, lung cancer, female breast cancer, pancreatic cancer, and melanoma. Ahmedin Jemal et al., *Changes in Insurance Coverage and Stage at Diagnosis Among Nonelderly Patients With Cancer After the Affordable Care Act*, 35 J. CLINICAL ONCOLOGY 3906 (2017); see also Xuesong Han et al., *Comparison Of Insurance Status and Diagnosis Stage Among Patients With Newly Diagnosed Cancer Before vs After Implementation Of*

²² <https://www.marchofdimes.org/advocacy/affordable-care-is-essential-to-moms-and-babies.aspx>

²³ https://www.scattergoodfoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/yumpu_files/Behavioral_Health_and_the_Individual_Health_Insurance_Market_03.23.17.pdf

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, 4 JAMA ONCOL. 1713 (Dec. 2018) (showing similar shift for additional cancer types). Another demonstrated the ACA's positive effect on the initiation and completion of the human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination, early diagnosis and receipt of fertility-sparing treatments for cervical cancer, and increased early-stage diagnoses for other forms of cancer among adults aged 19 to 25. Xuesong Han & Ahmedin Jemal, *The Affordable Care Act and Cancer Care for Young Adults*, 20:3 J. CANCER 194 (2017). Overall, the proportion of cancer survivors reporting delayed or foregone care significantly decreased following the implementation of the Affordable Care Act. Xuesong Han et al., *Changes in noninsurance and care unaffordability among cancer survivors following the Affordable Care Act*. J. NAT'L CANCER INST. (forthcoming, Nov. 2019).

III. THE CHALLENGED RULE WILL ALLOW SHORT-TERM, LIMITED-DURATION PLANS TO PROLIFERATE

The challenged rule will upend this progress toward greater coverage and better health outcomes. It does so by permitting insurers to evade the ACA's requirements through the sale of short-term, limited-duration plans.

As the name suggests, short-term, limited-duration insurance is intended to be *temporary*: it is a "type of health insurance coverage that was designed to fill temporary gaps in coverage that may occur when an individual is transitioning from one plan or coverage to another plan or coverage." Proposed Rule, Short-

Term, Limited-Duration Insurance, 83 Fed. Reg. 7,437, 7,443 (Feb. 21, 2018). Such temporary plans are exempt from many of the ACA’s requirements, including the requirement to provide coverage for “essential health benefits” without annual or lifetime limits, and the prohibitions against discrimination based on pre-existing conditions. Thus, such plans do not cover many routine medical services, impose limits on the total amount of services they will cover, and exclude many consumers (*i.e.*, those with preexisting conditions) entirely. *See* Karen Pollitz et al., *Understanding Short-Term Limited-Duration Health Insurance* (Apr. 23, 2018).²⁴ For these reasons, premiums for such plans tend to be lower. To ensure that these short-term plans remain temporary, and do not supplant ACA-compliant policies, the Departments previously had issued a rule limiting their term to three months and allowing them to be extended only a total of 12 months. Expected Benefits; Lifetime and Annual Limits; and Short-Term, Limited-Duration Insurance, 81 Fed. Reg. 75,316 (Oct. 31, 2016).

The challenged rule now makes these “short-term” policies long-term. Under the rule, a plan with a contract term of up to 364 days—one day less than an ACA-qualified health plan—counts as “short-term.” Final Rule, Short-Term, Limited-Duration Insurance, 83 Fed. Reg. 38,212, 38,214-15 (Aug. 3, 2018). Such

²⁴ <https://www.kff.org/health-reform/issue-brief/understanding-short-term-limited-duration-health-insurance>

plans may also be renewed for a total of 36 months. *Id.* at 38,215. And consumers may stack one 36-month “short-term, limited-duration” contract on top of another indefinitely. *Id.* at 38,222. One study estimated that as many as 4.3 million people will enroll in these expanded, effectively indefinite, short-term plans. Linda J. Blumberg et al., *Updated: The Potential Impact of Short-Term Limited-Duration Policies on Insurance Coverage, Premiums, and Federal Spending*, URBAN INSTITUTE (March 2018).²⁵

IV. THE CHALLENGED RULE WILL HAVE ACKNOWLEDGED HARMFUL EFFECTS

The challenged rule will have two predictable consequences: relatively younger and healthier people will be more likely to purchase short-term, limited-duration insurance plans instead of ACA-compliant policies; and those who purchase or retain ACA-compliant policies will likely face higher premiums and diminished access. Both of these effects are acknowledged in the final rule itself. *E.g.*, Final Rule, 83 Fed. Reg. at 38,217, 38,235. Both consequences would cause very real harm to individuals in need of medical care.

First, the rule will lead many individuals who purchase short-term plans to lack the coverage they need if and when medical care is necessary. As Amici explained in their comments on the proposed rule, many consumers may purchase

²⁵ https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/96781/2001727_updated_finalized.pdf

these short-term plans without realizing they do not cover benefits these consumers will need, such as preventive services, maternity care, or new cancer care. *E.g.*, Comment of American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network, April 20, 2018, at 7 [“ACS CAN Comment”]. Other individuals may purchase these plans because they are unaware that their preexisting conditions will preclude them from securing the treatment they need. *E.g.*, Comment of American Cancer Society Cancer Action Network et al., April 23, 2018, at 6 [“Joint Comment”]. And still others may find themselves with unexpected medical needs that are not covered by these short-term policies—leaving them with a gap in critical coverage until the open enrollment period for ACA-compliant policies. *E.g.*, Comment of American Lung Association, April 23, 2018, at 2 [“ALA Comment”]; Karen Pollitz et al., *Understanding Short-Term Limited-Duration Health Insurance* (Apr. 23, 2018)²⁶ (no available short-term plans cover maternity care); Comment of Consortium for Citizens with Disabilities Health Task Force, April 23, 2018, at 3-4 (43% of short-term plans do not cover mental health services and 71% do not cover outpatient prescription drugs). Such concerns are magnified because insurance brokers have in the past fraudulently misled consumers into believing that short-term plans are

²⁶ <https://www.kff.org/health-reform/issue-brief/understanding-short-term-limited-duration-health-insurance>

ACA-compliant. ACS CAN Comment at 9; Comment of Federal AIDS Policy Partnership, April 23, 2018, at 3.

The Departments themselves have recognized these likely impacts. In the final rule, the Departments expressly note that “consumers who switch to such policies from individual market plans will experience loss of third-party payments for some services and providers and potentially an increase in out-of-pocket expenditures,” and that “consumers who purchase short-term limited-duration insurance policies and then develop chronic conditions may face financial hardship as a result.” Final Rule, 83 Fed. Reg. at 38,231. The Departments further acknowledge that “those individuals who lose coverage in these plans may not qualify for a special enrollment period in the individual market and may face a period of time in which they have no medical coverage.” *Id.*

Yet—aside from noting that notice requirements and state regulations may eliminate some consumer confusion, *e.g., id.* at 38,219—the Departments provide no basis to disregard these very real harms. Instead, they rely on the repeated refrain that the rule “empowers consumers to make decisions.” *E.g., id.* at 38,232. The district court likewise seized on this theme of consumer “choice.” JA580. But consumers often have an insufficient understanding of the complex health insurance market and may lack sufficient information about plan limitations to make informed decisions. Indeed, a recent ACS CAN study of short-term plans in

Florida, Illinois, Maine, Pennsylvania, Texas, and Wisconsin determined that “most of the details about plan coverage were included in the plan’s policy documents, which were not made available to individuals shopping for coverage.” ACS CAN, *Inadequate Coverage: An ACS CAN Examination of Short-Term Health Plans* 3 (May 13, 2019).²⁷ And purveyors of short-term plans may actively mislead consumers: tales abound of individuals induced to purchase such limited plans based on false promises of coverage. *E.g.*, N. Levy, *Skimpy health plans touted by Trump bring back familiar woes for consumers*, L.A. TIMES (Apr. 2, 2019);²⁸ R. Abelson, *Florida Company Sued Over Sales of Skimpy Health Plans*, N.Y. TIMES (June 12, 2019).²⁹

Even if fully informed, many individuals will fail to anticipate *unexpected* health care needs. For example, fully 45% of pregnancies in the U.S. are unplanned. *See* Lawrence B. Finer & Mia R. Zolna, *Declines in Unintended Pregnancy in the United States, 2008-2011*, 374:9 NEW ENG. J. MED. 843-52 (2016). Likewise, most cancer diagnoses are unexpected by the individual being diagnosed; and over 1.7 million people will be diagnosed with cancer in 2018. ACS CAN Comment at 1; *see supra* p. 8. Some individuals with short-term,

²⁷ <https://www.fightcancer.org/sites/default/files/National%20Documents/ACS%20CAN%20STLD%20Paper.pdf>

²⁸ <https://www.latimes.com/politics/la-na-pol-trump-shortterm-health-insurance-consumer-problems-20190402-story.html>

²⁹ <https://www.nytimes.com/2019/06/12/health/insurance-lawsuit-obamacare.html>

limited-duration insurance will be unable to afford the care needed to respond to such a life-threatening diagnosis, and they will be forced to push off treatment for the months it may take to secure adequate coverage or will face serious financial hardship and potential bankruptcy paying for their care. ALA Comment at 2 (“disease does not pay attention to a calendar”); *see* ACS CAN, *Inadequate Coverage* 13 (estimating that out-of-pocket expenses of treatment for 57 year-old woman diagnosed with breast cancer would be as much as \$103,000 greater with a 3-month short-term plan as opposed to ACA-compliant plan). Delaying treatment may be all the more problematic if, because of short-term plans’ lack of coverage for preventive services, these diagnoses occur at a later stage. As explained above (*supra* pp. 10-17), such delays can be the difference between life and death. *E.g.*, Ward, *Association of Insurance and Stage at Diagnosis Among Patients Aged 55 to 74 Years in the National Cancer Database*, *supra*, at 619; American Cancer Society, *Cancer Facts & Figures 2019* at 21.³⁰

Second, allowing short-term plans to proliferate will undermine the stability of the markets for ACA-compliant plans, to the detriment of those who purchase them. That is because short-term, limited-duration plans will attract relatively younger and healthier individuals, leaving relatively older and sicker individuals in

³⁰ <https://www.cancer.org/content/dam/cancer-org/research/cancer-facts-and-statistics/annual-cancer-facts-and-figures/2019/cancer-facts-and-figures-2019.pdf>

the risk pool for ACA-compliant plans. Joint Comment at 6. Premiums for these comprehensive, available-to-all plans will rise as a result. Indeed, one study estimated that, between the elimination of the individual mandate and the Department's expansion of short-term, limited-duration policies, premiums for consumers who remain in the ACA-compliant individual markets in those states that do not counteract the challenged rule will increase by an average of 18.3 percent. Linda J. Blumberg et al., *Updated: The Potential Impact of Short-Term Limited-Duration Policies on Insurance Coverage, Premiums, and Federal Spending*, URBAN INSTITUTE (March 2018).³¹ Moreover, in some cases, issuers might opt to leave the market rather than insure this increasingly high-risk pool, leaving certain particularly vulnerable populations without access to coverage at all. ACS CAN Comment at 6; see Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, *County by County Analysis of Current Projected Insurer Participating in Health Insurance Exchanges* (June 2017) (many counties were already projected to have only one issuer).³²

Although the Departments attempted to minimize the extent of these impacts (e.g., Final Rule, 83 Fed. Reg. at 38,236), they did not deny the rule will negatively

³¹ https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/96781/2001727_updated_finalized.pdf

³² <https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/press-releases/county-county-analysis-current-projected-insurer-participation-health-insurance-exchanges>

affect individual markets. To the contrary, they expressly acknowledged the rule may lead to an “increase in premiums for individual market plans.” *Id.* at 38,233. They further admitted that “due to the potential increase in risk segmentation, in which healthier individuals choos[ing] products outside the individual market may result in an individual market risk pool with higher medical expenses, it is possible that fewer issuers may offer plans in the individual market.” *Id.* Similarly, while the district court concluded that this impact did not preclude the Departments from invoking *Chevron* deference, the court could not deny that the projected premium increases “are hardly *de minimis* to the average person.” JA577.

These increases in premiums, and decreases in availability, will fall particularly hard on those with significant medical needs. Indeed, while the Departments tout that the rule “empowers consumers to make decisions,” Final Rule, 83 Fed. Reg. at 38,232, individuals with chronic or other serious health conditions have no such choice. Because they need comprehensive care, and because issuers of short-term plans are empowered to discriminate against those with preexisting conditions, such individuals will remain reliant on their ability to secure ACA-compliant plans. *See, e.g.*, NMSS Comment at 2 (“The medical underwriting process that applications for [short-term, limited-duration] plans would be subject to virtually assures no application from a person with MS would be approved.”); ALA Comment at 2 (“Current lung disease patients, including kids

with asthma, lung cancer survivors and patients with [chronic obstructive pulmonary disease], would be rejected for coverage by one of these plans, instead paying more for the comprehensive care they need in order to access physicians, medications, and other treatments and services to stay healthy and manage their conditions.”). Accordingly, because of the challenged rule, some of the individuals most in need of comprehensive care will find themselves unable to afford treatment. They will likely suffer substantial harm as a result. *See supra* pp. 8-17.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Amici respectfully submit that the court should reverse.

Dated: November 12, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES R. SIGEL
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
425 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

/s/ Joseph R. Palmore
JOSEPH R. PALMORE
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: (202) 887-6940
JPalmore@mofocom

Counsel for Amici Curiae

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 32(a)

This brief complies with the type-volume limitations of Fed. R. App. P. 29(a)(5) because it contains 5,964 words, excluding the parts of the brief exempted by Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(7)(B)(iii) and D.C. Circuit R. 32(e)(1), as determined by the word-counting feature of Microsoft Word.

This brief complies with the typeface requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(5) and the type-style requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(6) because this brief has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface, including serifs, using Microsoft Word 2016 in 14-point Times New Roman font.

Dated: November 12, 2019

/s/ Joseph R. Palmore

Joseph R. Palmore

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia by using the CM/ECF system on November 12, 2019.

I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system.

Dated: November 12, 2019

/s/ Joseph R. Palmore

Joseph R. Palmore