IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

LYNN E., by her guardian, Barry Ellsworth; KENNETH R., by his guardian, Tri-County CAP, Inc./GS; SHARON B., by her guardian, Office of Public Guardian, Inc.; AMANDA D., by her guardian, Louise Dube; AMANDA E., by her guardian, Office of Public Guardian, Inc.; and JEFFREY D., on behalf of))))))
themselves and all others similarly situated,)
Plaintiffs,)))
v.)
JOHN H. LYNCH, Governor of the State of New Hampshire; NICHOLAS A. TOUMPAS, Commissioner New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services; NANCY L. ROLLINS, Associate Commissioner, New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services, Community Based Care Services; MARY ANN COONEY, Deputy Commissioner, New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services, Direct Programs/Operations; ERIK G. RIERA, Administrator, New Hampshire Bureau of Behavioral Health, Defendants.)))))))))))))))) 1:12-CV-53-LM)
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	_) UNITED STATES') ASSENTED-TO) MOTION TO INTERVENE
Plaintiff-Intervenor,) MOTION TO INTERVENE
v.))
THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE,	,)
Defendant.))

ASSENTED-TO MOTION TO INTERVENE BY THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

The United States respectfully requests that this Court grant its intervention as a plaintiff in this action as a matter of right, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(a)(2), or, alternatively, through permissive intervention pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(b). The existing parties have assented to the United States' intervention in this case. As further grounds in support thereof, the United States states as follows:

- 1. The United States' motion to intervene is timely because the litigation is in its early stages. The Plaintiffs just filed their complaint several weeks ago, on February 9, 2012, and the Defendants have yet to file an answer. As a result, the United States' intervention will not create any delay and it will not prejudice the existing parties.
- 2. The United States satisfies the requirements for intervention as of right under Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(a)(2). The United States has substantial legal interest in the subject matter of the action because it involves claims asserted under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 12131-12134, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 ("Rehabilitation Act"), 29 U.S.C. § 794. The United States Department of Justice is the federal agency with primary regulatory and enforcement responsibilities under Title II of the ADA, and, as such, plays a unique role in enforcing and interpreting the statute and its implementing regulations on behalf of the broad public interest. It also has significant interest in enforcing the Supreme Court's decision in Olmstead v. L.C., 527 U.S. 581 (1999), where the Supreme Court held that the unnecessary institutionalization of individuals with disabilities is unlawful discrimination and violates Title II of the ADA and its integration mandate, 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(d).

- 3. Disposition of this action without the United States' participation may impede its enforcement and regulatory interests. Because there are not many cases interpreting *Olmstead*, the outcome of this case implicates *stare decisis* concerns that warrant the United States' intervention.
- 4. The United States' interests are not adequately protected by the existing parties to the litigation. Because the United States represents the public interest on a national scale, its interests differ from those represented by private Plaintiffs.
- 5. The United States satisfies the requirements for permissive intervention because the United States' claims against the State of New Hampshire share common questions of law and fact with the claims of the private Plaintiffs and because this action involves the interpretation of statutes that the Attorney General is entrusted by Congress to administer. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(b)(2).
- 6. Pursuant to civil Local Rule 7.1(c), counsel for the United States conferred in good faith with counsel for the parties to see if there was concurrence with regard to the United States intervening in the instant action. Counsel for the Plaintiffs and counsel for the Defendants assented to the United States' request/motion to intervene.
- 7. As further support for its motion, the United States respectfully directs the Court to its accompanying memorandum in support, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

Dated: March 27, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN P. KACAVAS United States Attorney District of New Hampshire THOMAS E. PEREZ Assistant Attorney General

JOHN J. FARLEY

(603) 225-1552

John.Farley@usdoj.gov

EVE L. HILL Senior Counselor to the Assistant Attorney General

Assistant United States Attorney
New Hampshire Bar No. 16934
District of New Hampshire
U.S. Attorney's Office
53 Pleasant Street
Concord, NH 03301

ALISON BARKOFF

Special Counsel for Olmstead Enforcement

Civil Rights Division

/s/ Richard J. Farano

JONATHAN M. SMITH, Section Chief JUDITH C. PRESTON, Deputy Chief RICHARD J. FARANO, Senior Trial Attorney

District of Columbia Bar No. 424225

DEENA S. FOX, Trial Attorney

New York Bar Registration No. 4709655

Special Litigation Section Civil Rights Division U.S. Department of Justice

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW – PHB

Washington, DC 20530 Telephone: 202-307-3116 Facsimile: 202-514-0212 richard.farano@usdoj.gov

Counsel for Plaintiff-Intervenor, United States of America

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 27, 2012, I electronically filed the United States' Assented-To Motion to Intervene, a Memorandum in Support, and the United States' proposed Complaint-in-Intervention, with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will automatically send email notification of such filing to the attorneys of record; I also certify that on March 27, 2012, I sent these documents by FedEx and email to counsel for Defendants, Michael Brown, Esq., Senior Assistant Attorney General for the State of New Hampshire, 33 Capitol Street, Concord, NH 03301.

/s/ Richard J. Farano

RICHARD J. FARANO, Senior Trial Attorney
District of Columbia Bar No. 424225
Special Litigation Section
Civil Rights Division
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW – PHB
Washington, DC 20530
Telephone: 202-307-3116

Facsimile: 202-514-0212 richard.farano@usdoj.gov

Counsel for Plaintiff-Intervenor, United States of America