Statement for the Record By
The Consortium for Citizens with Disabilities
1825 K Street, NW, Ste. 1200
Washington, DC 20006

United States Senate Committee on Finance Hearing Titled:
“Hearing to Consider the Graham-Cassidy-Heller-Johnson Proposal”
Monday, September 25, 2017

Dear Chairman Hatch, Ranking Member Wyden, and members of the Senate Finance Committee:

Thank you for this opportunity to submit a statement for the record regarding the September 25, 2017 hearing titled “Hearing to Consider the Graham-Cassidy-Heller-Johnson Proposal.”

The Consortium for Citizens with Disabilities (CCD) is the largest coalition of national organizations working together to advocate for federal public policy that ensures the self-determination, independence, empowerment, integration and inclusion of children and adults with disabilities in all aspects of society. The undersigned members of the Consortium for Citizens with Disabilities (CCD) write to express strong opposition to the Graham-Cassidy-Heller-Johnson (GCHJ) proposal.

As we have previously commented on multiple House and Senate proposals, we cannot overstate the danger facing the millions of adults and children with disabilities if the proposal’s Medicaid provisions are adopted. The proposal’s imposition of a per capita cap and the elimination of the adult Medicaid expansion would decimate a program that has provided essential healthcare and long term services and supports to millions of adults and children with disabilities for decades. We are also extremely concerned about the changes proposed to the private individual health insurance market and the tax credits that currently assist low-income individuals, including individuals with disabilities, to purchase insurance.

Some 10 million people with disabilities and, often, their families, depend on the critical services that Medicaid provides for their health, functioning, independence, and well-being. For decades, the disability community and bipartisan Congressional leaders have worked together to ensure that people with disabilities of all ages have access to home- and community-based services (HCBS) that allow them to live, work, go to school, and participate in their communities instead of passing their days in institutions. Medicaid has been a key driver of innovations in cost-effective community-based care, and is now the primary program covering HCBS in the United States. Older adults and people with disabilities rely on Medicaid for nursing and personal care services,
specialized therapies, intensive mental health services, special education services, and other needed services that are unavailable through private insurance.

Like other proposals considered by the Senate, the GCHJ bill upends those critical supports. Per capita caps – which have nothing to do with the Affordable Care Act – would radically restructure the financing of the traditional Medicaid program and divorce the federal contribution from the actual costs of meeting people’s health care needs. Caps are designed solely to cut federal Medicaid support to states, ending a decades-long state/federal partnership to improve opportunities and outcomes for our most vulnerable. Slashing federal funds will instigate state budget crises that stifle the planning and upfront investments required to create more efficient care systems. Caps will force states to cut services and eligibility, which will put the lives, health, and independence of people with disabilities at significant risk. In fact, because HCBS (including waivers) are optional Medicaid services, they will likely be among the first targets when states are addressing budgetary shortfalls. The structure of GCHJ’s cap – like the structure in previous bills – makes cuts worse after it reduces the growth rate in 2025. Independent experts have estimated the Graham-Cassidy per capita cap alone would cut federal supports to states by $53 billion to $175 billion by 2026, with steeper cuts increasing to $1.1 trillion to $3.2 trillion by 2036.

Limited carve outs and targeted funding pots included in GCHJ pale in comparison to the scope of these cuts. For example, GCHJ offers a four-year $8 billion dollar demonstration to expand Medicaid home and community-based services – which is not even half of the $19 billion cut to the Community First Choice option that eight states have implemented to expand access to necessary in-home services for people with disabilities. All individuals on Medicaid will be impacted by cuts of this magnitude, despite any limited, temporary demonstration funding or restricted funding carve out for a fraction of the children with disabilities that Medicaid supports. Throwing billions in extra temporary funds cannot curb the inevitable, long-term loss of critical Medicaid services that people with disabilities will face as a result of per capita caps.

In addition, GCHJ ends the Medicaid Expansion and the current tax credits and cost sharing reductions that assist low income individuals purchase health insurance in 2020. It replaces this assistance with a block grant that would reduce federal funding by $239 billion by 2026. After 2026, Graham-Cassidy cuts off federal funding for people who today rely on Medicaid expansion and Marketplace coverage, including millions with disabilities. These are people who previously fell through the cracks in our system, such as individuals with disabilities in a mandatory waiting
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period before their Medicare coverage begins and millions of people with a behavioral health condition who previously had no pathway to steady coverage. Also, millions of family caregivers and hundreds of thousands of low-wage direct care workers who serve older adults and people with disabilities gained coverage through the Medicaid expansion. Medicaid expansion helps stabilize our long-term care support networks by keeping caregivers healthy and reducing turnover, but would end under Graham-Cassidy.

Likewise, Marketplace coverage ensures that people with disabilities can buy comprehensive and affordable health care and have equal access to much needed health care including examinations, therapies to regain abilities after an illness or injury, and affordable medications. We have serious concerns about GCHJ private market provisions, including the state waiver authority to eliminate protections for people with preexisting conditions (including people with disabilities), older adults, and people who need access to essential health benefits. The nondiscrimination provisions and health insurance reforms, the expanded access to long term supports and services, and the expanded availability of comprehensive and affordable health care have helped many more individuals with disabilities live in the community and be successful in school and the workplace. No longer do individuals with disabilities and their families have to make very difficult choices about whether to pay their mortgage, declare bankruptcy, or choose between buying groceries and paying for needed medications.

In short, GCHJ makes health insurance less affordable for millions of people, particularly people with disabilities, older adults, and those with chronic health conditions. The cumulative effect of the private insurance and Medicaid proposals will leave people with disabilities without care and without choices, caught between Medicaid cuts, unaffordable private insurance, and limited high-risk pools. Based on prior Congressional Budget Office scores, the Brookings Institute estimates GCHJ would lead to 15 million fewer individuals having health insurance from 2018-2019, 21 million fewer individuals from 2020-2026, and 32 million fewer individuals from 2027 onwards.7

Finally, we are extremely disappointed that the proposal has not been considered under regular order and in fact threatens to usurp an active bipartisan effort to bolster Marketplace coverage. The Senate has a longstanding history of deliberating policy proposals through transparent processes, including public hearings, open comment periods on discussion drafts, and multi-stakeholder meetings. We are particularly concerned that Senators are expressing support of this proposal without a Congressional Budget Office (CBO) score that thoroughly examines the short and long-term financial and coverage impacts. The complete restructuring proposed for the individual private insurance market is likely to have repercussions on coverage that prior CBO estimates do not take into account. The Senate Health Education Labor and Pensions Committee has begun a bipartisan process examining how to strengthen the Affordable Care Act. We ask all Senators to reject this proposal and instead engage in the process of regular order and work toward bipartisan solutions that ensure that all adults and children with disabilities have access to the healthcare they need.

Sincerely,
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